## **Marina Lent**

From: Jim Malkin <jimmalkin@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, December 05, 2014 3:33 PM

**To:** Alison Burger

**Cc:** Dan Greenbaum; Billy Meegan; Steve Flanders; Janet Weidner; Jane Slater; Ron

Rappaport; Marina Lent

**Subject:** Re: thoughts for Tuesday's discussion; Marina please post in Committee Drafts and

**Reports** 

Thanks Alison.

Marina - please post all of this to the town website under committee drafts and reports.

## **JMM**

jimmalkin@gmail.com

+1 917 3281987

On Dec 5, 2014, at 3:24 PM, 19 State Rd. <a href="mailto:chilchoc85@verizon.net">chilchoc85@verizon.net</a>> wrote:

That all makes sense to me. I am fine with the 90 days; it was my initial response before careful consideration. As you have said, the parties have been involved with this for quite some time now. Thanks, Allison

From: Dan Greenbaum

Sent: Friday, December 05, 2014 2:52 PM

To: 'Jim Malkin'; 'Alison Burger'; 'Billy Meegan'; 'Steve Flanders'; 'Janet Weidner'; 'Jane Slater'; 'Ron

Rappaport'; 'Marina Lent'

Subject: RE: thoughts for Tuesday's discussion; Marina please post in Committee Drafts and Reports

Agree with Jim, particularly considering Steve's point regarding the grant money is on a tight schedule.

From: Jim Malkin [mailto:jimmalkin@gmail.com]

**Sent:** Friday, December 05, 2014 1:58 PM

To: Alison Burger; Dan Greenbaum; Billy Meegan; Steve Flanders; Janet Weidner; Jane Slater; Ron

Rappaport: Marina Lent

**Subject:** thoughts for Tuesday's discussion; Marina please post in Committee Drafts and Reports

Members -

Sorry I can't join this meeting.

I have some additional modifications to the powerpoint and will get those to Dan to share with you for your consideration.

I met with Reid Silva about getting backup to the estimates from John Keene and validation of our parking alternative from an engineering/surveying point of view. He will give me a proposal and I will take to BOS to get authorized in time for either the information meeting or for the STM based upon Reid's work load.

I would like to share my thinking on the 90 day deadline for agreement on the Preferred Alternative.

All parties have had more than a year prior to our Preferred Alternative to think, study and discuss the Squibnocket issue. From the time of our unanimous acceptance of a draft recommendation until the Special Town Meeting, all parties will have had ten weeks (70 days) to think, study and discuss. (And from calls we are getting, it is clear that all parties are very aware of our draft recommendation.)

It seems to me that 90 days on top of the prior 70 days is ample time for an agreement to be reached. Parties with as much knowledge as they have accumulated and acting in good faith, could easily hammer out an agreement in two weeks and even with lawyers involved (!) get it drafted and signed in a further two weeks. It almost seems to me that we only need give the parties 30 days to get this done.

Having said that, I am aware of the concerns voiced by Alison on the timing issue, but given the logic above, I think extending beyond 90 days provides opportunity for gamesmanship should parties not inclined to act in good faith.

Thanks of allowing me to contribute this via email. Please read this into the record on my behalf.

JMM jimmalkin@gmail.com +1 917 3281987

I am using the Free version of <u>SPAMfighter</u>. SPAMfighter has removed 2756 of my spam emails to date.

Do you have a slow PC? Try a free scan!